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Abstract—This paper describes high performance implementation of DVB-S2 modem on the rad-hard manycore RC64 DSP. Multi-level simulation and development methodologies are described. Modem algorithms are specified, together with implementation details. Efficient parallel processing is enabled by the shared memory architecture, by PRAM-like task oriented programming and by dynamic allocation of tasks to cores. The modem achieves in excess of 2 Gbps transmission and 1 Gbps reception.
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1. INTRODUCTION

RC64 is designed as a high performance rad-hard manycore DSP processor for space applications [1][8]. The architecture is shown in Figure 1. 64 DSP cores (CEVA X1643) are integrated together with hardware accelerators, a hardware scheduler, multi-bank shared memory, a logarithmic network on chip connecting the cores to the memories, and multiple I/O interfaces.

RC64 is designed for space applications. Software Defined Radio (SDR) and modems constitute very demanding applications. This paper investigates the implementation of DVB-S2/DVB-S2x modems on RC64. An LDPC hardware accelerator is included in RC64 to support efficient modems, and as a result RC64 achieves in excess of 2 Gbps transmit rate and 1 Gbps receive rate. Earlier works in this area include [6] and [7].

The RC64 DVB-S2 modem has been developed using a multi-level methodology and simulators. The development of a modem on a manycore processor combines communication theory, parallel algorithm design, parallel programming and profiling, and software engineering.

The paper presents the simulator, the modem algorithms, implementation details, parallel programming of the model, and performance evaluation.

2. RC64 DVB-S2 SIMULATOR

Figure 2 depicts the RC64 DVB-S2 simulator structure. The data generator creates baseband frames. The transmitter encodes and modulates the frames according to DVB-S2 and DVB-S2X standards. The channel simulator adds noise and impairments. The receiver demodulates and decodes the

Figure 1. RC64 Many-Core Architecture. 64 DSP cores, modem accelerators and multiple DMA controllers of I/O interfaces access the multibank shared memory through a logarithmic network. The hardware scheduler dispatches fine grain tasks to cores, accelerators and I/O.
signal, and the analyzer compares the sent and received signals.

The simulator enables testing and performance optimization regarding modem quality (bit error rate for a range of channel impairments, signal to noise ratio and bandwidth), modem bitrate (performance of RC64 executing the modem application), bottleneck analysis (identify required accelerator(s) for the modem) and hardware accelerators type and capacity (validation before hardware integration).

![Figure 2. RC64 DVB-S2 Simulator](image)

Modem development is carried out through six levels of refinement, as shown in Table 1. Algorithm development starts by coding in Matlab a high level model of the modem, and proceeds through stages until finally parallel C code is employed to program the actual RC64. We start with an unrestricted algorithm, implemented in Matlab (level 1). The accelerators code is replaced by a Matlab executable (mex) file generated from RTL descriptions of the accelerators. Level 1 serves as golden model, to which subsequent level models may be compared.

Level 2 takes into account architectural restrictions of RC64 such as limited memory and real-time constraints. For instance, receiver input samples are processed in pre-defined sample groups rather than in frame size sample groups. In the third level, Matlab floating-point computations are replaced by Matlab fixed point at a word precision of 16 bits, compatible with high-speed arithmetic on the DSP cores of RC64. Accelerator models are replaced by more precise ones driven from RTL. Outputs are carefully compared with the results of the floating-point models, to assure minimal signal degradation.

At level 4, Matlab is replaced by code in the C language, compatible with the compiler for the DSP cores in RC64. The Matlab simulator models of the transmitter and receiver are replaced by models for the cycle accurate simulator of RC64. The output must be exactly the same as produced in level 3. The accelerator code is a function in C representing the hardware accelerator.

At level 5, the code is parallelized to execute on RC64 and further optimizations are performed to take advantage of specific hardware features of the DSP cores. The accelerators function is executed as a separate task, in parallel with other tasks. In level 6 the entire modem is executed on RC64 hardware.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Level Name</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Accelerators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>High Level Modem</td>
<td>Matlab</td>
<td>Float</td>
<td>Virtual unlimited architecture</td>
<td>FloatC-to-mex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Matlab DSP Modem</td>
<td>Matlab</td>
<td>Float</td>
<td>Restricted to real-time DSP of RC64</td>
<td>FloatC-to-mex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted memory sizes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Translate input frames to samples on TX, input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sample stream to frames on RX.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed Point Matlab</td>
<td>Matlab</td>
<td>Fixed 16</td>
<td>Rounding and saturated computation</td>
<td>RTL-to-mex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSP Modem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use CEVA lib functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C-Fixed Modem</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fixed 16</td>
<td>Bit-exact to Level 3</td>
<td>C function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C-Parallel Modem</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fixed 16</td>
<td>Compliant to Plural shared-memory programming</td>
<td>C function as a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>model [8]</td>
<td>separate task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RC64 Modem</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fixed 16</td>
<td>Task on accelerator hardware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. RC64 DVB-S2 MODEM ALGORITHMS

In this section we describe the algorithms of the transmitter, the communication channel, the receiver and the data generator and analyzer.

**Transmitter**

The DVB-S2 and DVB-S2X transmitter includes the following functional blocks to modulate input streams, as specified and recommended in [2][3][4] (Figure 3): CRC-8 encoder, baseband (BB) header insertion and stream adaptation, BB Scrambling, FEC encoding (comprising BCH and LDPC encoders and bit interleaver), bit mapping into constellations, physical layer framing (PL header insertion, followed by pilot adding and scrambling) and BB shaping.
(up-sampling and low-pass filtering). This series of functional blocks can be clustered into Pre-LDPC stage, the LDPC encoder, and Post-LDPC stage.

Figure 3. Functional block diagram of the DVB-S2 transmitter (following [3])

Figure 4. Channel simulation model
Communication Channel Simulation

Physical layer impairments in the communication channel include those introduced by the channel, such as reflections and interference, as well as those induced by various components in the system, such as tuner I/Q imbalance and amplifier non-linearity. These impairments degrade the received SNR and may in some cases affect the convergence behavior of various computation loops in the receiver.

In order to test the demodulator performance, different realistic conditions that can affect the quality of received signals are simulated. Physical layer impairments in DVB-S2 receivers are discussed in [4]. A simpler channel model is implemented in Matlab (Figure 4). Every noise source is set independently, allowing flexible channel simulation.

Receiver

The functional block diagram of DVB-S2 receiver according to DVB-S2 guidelines [2] is depicted in Figure 6. The Receiver application includes the following functional blocks.

Signal Processing Chain

- Adjacent Channel Filtering using BB FIR.
- I/Q imbalance compensation, an iterative algorithm to estimate I, Q and compensate for imbalance.
- DC offset removal, using a simple IIR.
- Frame Synchronization, using a 25 taps correlator and a peak detector.
- Symbol Timing Recovery, using a Farrow cubic interpolator and a Gardner detector.
- Decimator and Matched Filter.
- Carrier Frequency Recovery (coarse and fine recovery) based on a pilot. Coarse recovery employs a second order feedback loop based on a delay-and-multiply frequency error detector. Fine recovery employs a feed-forward (FF) estimation algorithm, derived from the L&R (Luise and Reggiannini) technique.
- Phase Recovery (coarse and fine recovery), using FF ML estimator.
- Digital AGC, based on a pilot assisted vector tracker mechanism.
- LMS Equalizer, employing DFE with a small number of taps.

Decoder Chain

- Descrambler, identical to the TX scrambler
- LLR calculation, finding the logarithm of the distance between the soft symbol and the nearest hard symbol.
- De-interleaver, identical to the TX interleaver.
- LDPC Decoder, BCH Decoder, BB Descrambler and BB Header CRC Decoder.

Similar to the transmitter, the receiver, too, may be clustered into Pre-LDPC, LDPC and Post-LDPC stages. The RF Front End, ADC and AGC blocks are not implemented in the simulator. Figure 5 describes the state machine of the receiver. Steady-state is entered when acquisition stages complete successfully. The main computation during this state consists of filtering, PHY descrambling, de-mapping and de-interleaving. The FEC LDPC decoder is implemented as a hardware accelerator. The rest of the computation includes BCH decoding (in some cases), descrambling and header decoding. In parallel, tracking is performed for the next incoming frame, enabling fast reaction to channel impairment changes, modulation changes and end-of-stream detection.

![Receiver state machine](image)

Figure 5. Receiver state machine

The performance of the DVB-S2/DVB-S2X link (consisting of transmitter, channel and receiver) is evaluated by the signal analyzer (Figure 2). The signal analyzer compares reconstructed bits with transmitted bits and calculates Frame Error Rate (FER), Packet Error Rate (PER) and Bit Error Rate (BER). In a communication chain without channel impairments, the reconstructed data should be exactly the same as transmitted. The DVB-S2 standard defines the expected error performance for different modes. PER is the ratio between the useful transport stream packets (188 bytes) correctly received and affected by errors, after forward error correction.
4. MODEM IMPLEMENTATION

Details of modem implementation are described in this section. We first discuss hardware accelerators, followed by data streaming, scheduling and mitigation of overhead.

Accelerators

A major computation bottleneck was identified during profiling of the fourth level of simulation (C-Fixed modem). Forward error correction (LDPC encode/decode) was found to limit the throughput of the modem when executed by the cycle accurate simulator.

The bottleneck can be eliminated using hardware acceleration, implemented either by a dedicated on-chip accelerator or by an external accelerator (ASIC or FPGA).

RC64 was extended with on-chip LDPC encode/decode hardware accelerator that is capable of 1 Gbps receive rate and 2 Gbps transmit rate. A second accelerator was added for turbo coding, required for DVB-RCS modem. Other types of accelerators are supported by dedicated parallel interfaces to external FPGA or ASIC.

Data Streaming

Early analysis of the shared memory capacity required for the transmitter and receiver algorithms showed that special care should be taken regarding buffers for intermediate data. The transition between bit-stream representation and symbol and sample representations of the data requires minimizing buffering of symbol and sample representation of data frames in favor of bit-stream representation when possible.
Buffering structure, modeled within the fourth level of simulation (Table 1), define the partitioning of parallel activity of the transmit and receive applications as described in Figure 7, indicating buffering in shared memory. Bit-stream representation of the data enables the most efficient storage in shared memory, accessed as byte stream by the DMA and DSP cores. A normal size frame is about 8 Kbyte long. LLR-stream employs 16 bits to represent each data bit, accessed as word stream by the DMA and the DSP cores. Thus, a normal size frame occupies 128 Kbyte. Sample-stream representation requires 16 bits per sample. Sample representation depends on symbol count (due to different possible constellations) and interpolation factor. A normal size frame, in sample representation, occupies between 128 Kbyte (QPSK) and 32 Kbyte (256APSK). Memory allocation is optimized by minimizing the buffer size for the sample-stream.

**Scheduling**

The compute sequence for both transmitter and receiver is driven by the transmit/receive sample rate. A continuous sample stream must be transmitted to the DAC or received from the ADC using DMA. Figure 8 presents the iterative task graph used for scheduling the tasks (initial and final parts are eliminated for clarity). When fully utilized, the modem iteratively performs the following steps.

- *Get-data* through input interface (ADC for receive, digital interface for transmit).
- *Pre-LDPC compute* stage, processing multiple frames each iteration. The number of frames is limited by frame size, data rate, available storage and available incoming data.
- *LDPC* stage that encodes or decodes data from the Pre-LDPC stage.
- *Post-LDPC compute* stage processing multiple frames each iteration.
- *Put-data* through output interface (DAC for transmit, digital interface for receive).

Figure 9 presents the double buffer queues used for supporting parallel operation during each iteration of the transmitter. The input stream DMA stores data into one of the two queues dedicated for input frames. The Pre-LDPC tasks process concurrently the queue of input frames from the
previous iteration and store the results into one of the two Pre-LDPC queues. The LDPC encoder accelerator processes the data in its input queue and stores the result in one of its output queues. The Post-LDPC tasks process concurrently the post-LDPC queue of the previous iteration and store the results into one of the two output sample queues. Finally, the output stream DMA reads samples data and outputs the samples. By the end of each iteration, input queues becomes output queues (double buffers are switched), and the next iteration may start

![Figure 8. Task map for transmit/receive application](image)

![Figure 9. Iterative computation during transmit](image)
Figure 10. Alternative schedules for load balancing

Figure 10 presents load balance scheduling alternatives for the three types of tasks using available processing resources (LDPC accelerator and DSP cores). In (a), four cores execute Pre-LDPC tasks and four other cores execute Post-LDPC tasks, in parallel with the LDPC encoder. The Post-LDPC tasks constitute a bottleneck. In (b), the Post-LDPC tasks are broken up into 32 instances of fine grain tasks. Once Pre-LDPC jobs are completed, Post-LDPC instances are allocated to all eight cores and computation is accelerated. In (c), 36 cores are made available, all instances are allocated at the same time, and Pre-LDPC becomes the bottleneck. Last, in (d), the Pre-LDPC tasks are split into eight smaller tasks and additional cores are made available. Consequently, computation time is shortened.

**Overhead mitigation**

Ideal modem implementation, when execution is most efficient and iteration time is minimized, depends on the following architectural aspects.

**Scheduling overhead minimized**—When a many-core solution is required to perform fine grain tasks to accelerate computation such as in Figure 10 (d), the time between task executions on cores must be negligible compared to tasks duration. RC64 scheduler offloads this activity from run-time software, and provides minimal overhead for task switching time. The overhead relates to both allocating multiple available tasks to many cores, as well as to recognition of task terminations. Task terminations enable new task allocations, which happens every iteration in such iterative task graphs.

**Shared memory access efficiency**—Dynamic scheduling of tasks to cores, requiring cores to perform different code with different data along each iteration, makes shared memory access latency and throughput critical. Shared memory phenomena such as data read hot-spots call for special care, to prevent serialization in memory access. In some cases, when data handling is interface dependent, queue management requires critical section handling for inter-core synchronization. The RC64 multi-bank and network on chip optimize memory access by cores. The memory appears as a flat address space, flexible for any type of data-set allocation very similar to the PRAM model, significantly simplifying the programming model.

**Shared memory coherency**—The programming model and non-preemptive run-to-completion tasks enable keeping shared memory with coherent data available for next task allocation. Each core is responsible for storing all its local data, thus may accidently use the wrong data content in its data caches automatically before starting a new task that may accidently use the wrong data content in its cache. This storing activity is supported in RC64 by its write-through cache configuration of the DSP cores, together with the minimal invalidation overhead at task terminations.

**Local core computing efficiency**—Processing cores computing efficiency may suffer due to low compute-to-data ratio or due to inefficient cache behavior. A major efficiency factor is using the VLIW and SIMD capability to achieve peak performance. RC64 cores are optimized for DSP computations, having four multiply-accumulate functional units along with two load/store units and two additional general purpose instruction units. A main compute-intensive part of the modem is the filters. Each DSP can perform a complex multiplication every cycle continuously, as long as the memory system can deliver the data. The local data memory (cache and scratchpad) supports 16Kbyte data and 8Kbyte program memory, sufficient for many algorithms.

**Data streaming efficiency**—Data in shared memory should be available for parallel memory read access to any of the cores during each iteration. Output data queues in shared
memory should be accessible efficiently and concurrently by any of the cores for writing during each iteration. Streaming data to and from shared memory queues must not degrade the computing throughput. RC64 DMA controllers are optimized for this purpose, both for memory buffer management in shared memory and for very high throughput to and from shared memory, without degrading memory access rate by the cores. Many DMA controllers can operate concurrently to serve many different I/O activities.

Programming model simplicity—Programming a many-core processor can become a very complex undertaking, requiring deep knowledge of the micro-architecture and the special mechanisms for solving the above challenges. RC64 task oriented programming model emphasize parallel code decomposition for application acceleration, in accordance with algorithm and memory capacity requirements. Other issues, such as shared memory access efficiency, coherency and streaming may incur only minor effect on performance, while the application developer enjoys a PRAM-like abstraction, similar to a single core program design.

5. PERFORMANCE

This section reports performance results as computed with the RC64 DVB-S2 simulator and cycle-accurate simulations of RC64 [8].

Transmitter Performance

When simulating transmission of short frames using 32APSK modulation and LDPC code of 8/9, the Pre-LDPC stage requires 16,000 cycles, LDPC encoding takes 560 cycles, and Post-LDPC is 100,000 cycles. Since there are 3402 32APSK symbols in a short frame, Post-LDPC can be considered as incurring 30 cycles per symbol. As shown in Figure 11, a useful balance between pre-LDPC and post-LDPC can be achieved with nine frames per iteration for pre-LDPC, generating a total of 3402×9=30,618 symbols. Parallel processing of these symbols in Post-LDPC tasks is achieved by the remaining 55 cores. Each Post-LDPC task processes 30,618/55=557 symbols, taking 557×30=16,710 cycles. This schedule translates to a data rate of

\[
\frac{14232 \text{[bit]} \cdot 9 \text{[frames]} \cdot 300 \text{[MHz]}}{16710 \text{[cycles]}} = 2.3 \text{Gbps}.
\]

Each symbol contains two samples, and there are 6,804 samples per frame. The sample output rate is

\[
\frac{6804 \text{[samples]} \cdot 9 \text{[frames]} \cdot 300 \text{[MHz]}}{16710 \text{[cycles]}} = 1.1 \text{Gsamples/s}.
\]

Receiver Performance

When receiving short frames in a steady state, the receiver spends 220,000 cycles in the Pre-LDPC stage, 4,000 cycles on average in the LDPC decoder, and 32,000 cycles in Post-LDPC. The schedule of Figure 12 shows 8,000 cycles per iteration, receiving two frames per iteration, using 54 DSP cores to perform Pre-LDPC, eight DSP cores to perform Post-LDPC. The resulting bitrate is

\[
\frac{14,232 \text{[bit]} \cdot 2 \text{[frames]} \cdot 300 \text{[MHz]}}{8,000 \text{[cycles]}} = 1 \text{Gbps}.
\]

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have described a high-performance implementation of DVB-S2 transmitter and receiver on RC64, predicted to exceed 2Gbps transmission and 1Gbps reception. A six-levels development and simulation process has been described. Dynamic scheduling of tasks to cores, using the hardware scheduler and based on task oriented programming, resulted in a flexible solution that can easily be adapted to other modem parameters and other standards.
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